

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/517,030	12/07/2004	Takeshi Oouchida	1018.1216101	1018.1216101 2771	
28075 75	590 12/15/2006		EXAMINER		
CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC 1221 NICOLLET AVENUE			LOPEZ, F	LOPEZ, FRANK D	
SUITE 800	ET AVENUE	·	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3745		
MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55403-2420	•			
•			DATE MAILED: 12/15/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			M			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/517,030	OOUCHIDA ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	F. Daniel Lopez	3745				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 28 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in content and the reply must be with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be with 37 CFR 1.114.	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
	eply expires <u>3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</u> ply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In					
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	ever, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN					
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful and/or 	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling non-allowable claim(s). 						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,3,4,6,7,9,10,12,13,15,16 and 18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe by and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	and the status of the claims after e	intry is below of attact	icu.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application ii	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
			//			

F. Daniel Lopez
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 3745

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicants argue that Hayashi et al does not describe a low pressure chamber that communicates with the spline section of the shaft. Applicant's argument is misleading, since the drawings clearly show the low pressure chamber communicating with the spline section of the shaft (at 48).

Applicants argue that Japan 2002-31209 does not describe a low pressure chamber that communicates with the spline section of the shaft. Applicant's argument is misleading, since the drawings clearly show the low pressure chamber communicating with the spline section of the shaft (at 51 or 52)..